See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/11835711

The Turkish version of the Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ) and the Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ)

Article in Clinical and experimental rheumatology · July 2001

CITATION	IS	READS	
43		291	
9 auth	nors, including:		
	Huri Ozdogan	9	Ozgur Kasapçopur
	Istanbul University		Istanbul University
	181 PUBLICATIONS 3,696 CITATIONS		310 PUBLICATIONS 3,243 CITATIONS
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE
	Seza Ozen		Ugurcan Ugurlu
	Hacettepe University		Selcuk University
	540 PUBLICATIONS 14,682 CITATIONS		1 PUBLICATION 43 CITATIONS
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project

Source: PubMed

Phenotypic analysis of Polyarteritis Nodosa (PAN) patients - Identification of phenotypic subgroups and regional/ethnic variation Amulticenter worldwide study View project

Real World Outcomes of ALK+ NSCLC Patients View project

The Turkish version of the Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ) and the Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ)

H. Ozdogan¹, N. Ruperto², O. Kasapçopur¹, A. Bakkaloglu³, N. Arisoy¹, S. Ozen³, Ü. Ugurlu¹, E. Unsal⁴, M. Melikoglu¹, for the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO)

¹Cerrahpasa Tip Fakültesi, Istanbul, Turkey; ²Laboratorio di Informatica Medica, IRCCS S. Matteo, Pavia, Italy; ³Hacettepe University Children's Hospital, Ankara, Turkey; ⁴Dokuz Eylül University Medical Faculty, Balcova, Izmir.

Supported by a grant from the European Union (BMH4-983531 CA), and by IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo (Pavia, Italy).

Please address correspondence and requests for reprints to either: Huri Ozdogan, MD, Cerrahpasa Tip Fakültesi, Iç Hastaliklari ABD, Romatoloji BD, Kasaneler sk. 2/5 Erenköy, 81060 Istanbul, Turkey. E-mail: nozdogan@superonline.com or PRINTO, IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo, Pediatria Generale e Reumatologia, Piazzale Golgi, 2, 27100 Pavia, Italy. E-mail: nruperto@smatteo.pv.it WWW: http://www.medit.it/printo/

Clin Exp Rheumatol 2001; 19 (Suppl. 23): S158-162.

© Copyright Clinical and Experimental *Rheumatology* 2001.

Key words: Turkish Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ), Turkish Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ), cross cultural adaptation and psychometric evaluation, health related quality of life, juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA), healthy children.

ABSTRACT

We report herein the results of the cross-cul tural adaptation and validation into the Turkish language of the parent's version of two health related quality of life instru ments. The Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ) is a disease specific health instrument that measures functional ability in daily living activities in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA). The Child Health Questionnaire (CHO) is a ge neric health instrument designed to capture the physical and psychosocial well-being of children independently from the underlying disease. The Turkish CHAQ CHQ were fully validated with 3 forward and 3 backward translations. A total of 145 subjects were enrolled: 85 patients with JIA (35% sys temic onset, 41% polyarticular onset, and 24% persistent oligoarticular subtype) and 60 healthy children. The CHAQ clinically discriminated between healthy subjects and JIA patients, with the systemic, and pol yarticular subtypes having a higher degree of disability, pain, and a lower overall wellbeing when compared to their healthy peers. Also the CHQ clinically discriminat ed between healthy subjects and JIA patients, with the systemic onset, and poly articular onset having a lower physical and psychosocial well-being when compared to their healthy peers.

In conclusion the Turkish version of the CHAQ-CHQ is a reliable, and valid tool for the functional, physical and psychosocial assessment of children with JIA.

Introduction

The aim of this study was to cross-culturally adapt and validate the Turkish parent's version of the Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ) (1) and the Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ) (2) in a cohort of healthy children and in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) being followed by the Turkish members of the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO). This project formed a part of a larger international survey conducted by PRINTO and supported by the European Union (contract BMH4 983531 CA) (3-5), whose scope is to evaluate the health-related quality of life in children with JIA as compared to their healthy peers.

Patients and results

The methodology used is described in detail in the introductory paper of this supplement (6).

The complete Turkish version of the CHAQ-CHQ, with the corresponding lines of the original American-English questionnaires marked in the left column, is reproduced at the end of this paper.

In brief, after obtaining ethics committees approval of the respective participating institutions and the consent of at least one parent per child, children were recruited into a prospective study performedfrom April 1998 to March 2000, by the Turkish members of PRINTO. Patients included children with JIA of either systemic onset, polyarticular onset, or persistent oligoarticular subtype (Durban criteria) (7). The controls consisted of healthy children (6 to 18 years of age) attending local schools and/or healthy sibling(s) of the JIA participants.

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects (Table I)

A total of 145 subjects were enrolled: 85 patients with JIA (35% systemic onset, 41% polyarticular onset, and 24% persistent oligoarticular subtype) and 60 healthy children. The CHAQ-CHQ were completed in 52% of the cases by the mother (mean age 34.4 ± 5.9), and in 48% of the cases by the father (mean age 40.2 ± 6.3). The high prevalence of fathers who completed the questionnaire might be due to the fact that the children are brought to the hospital usually by their fathers alone or together with their mothers.

Clinical discriminant validity

Table II reports the results (mean \pm SD) for the 8 CHAQ domains, the disability index (DI) and the 2 VAS scores for parental assessment of pain and overall well-being. The CHAQ clinically discriminated between healthy subjects and JIA patients, with the systemic, and polyarticular subtypes having a higher degree of disability, pain, and a lower overall well-being when compared to their healthy peers.

Table III reports the CHQ results (mean \pm SD) for the 15 health concepts (see table for abbreviation) and summary scores. The CHQ clinically discriminated between healthy subjects and JIA patients, with the systemic onset, and polyarticular onset having a lower physical and psychosocial well-being when compared to their healthy peers.

Cross cultural adaptation

The Turkish CHAQ was fully cross-culturally adapted with 3 forward and 3 backward translations; there was a concordance with the original American English version of the CHQ in at least 2 out of 3 back translations for 46/69 (67%) lines of the translations. The Turkish CHQ was fully cross-culturally adapted with 3 forward and 3 backward translations; there Table I. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the Turkish sample.

	Systemic onset $n = 30$	Polyarticular onset $n = 35$	Persistent oligoart. n = 20	Healthy controls n=60
Age of the children ^{1, 2}	8.6 ± 5.2	11.5 ± 4.2	7.0 ± 3.1	7.4 ± 0.9
Disease duration ¹	3.8 ± 2.9	4.0 ± 3.0	3.5 ± 2.1	
ESR ^{1, 2}	42.6 ± 30.0	45.5 ± 32.9	19.4 ± 12.9	
MD VAS (0-10 cm) ^{1, 2}	2.2 ± 2.6	3.2 ± 3.2	0.9 ± 1.0	
No. swollen joints ^{1, 2}	2.0 ± 5.8	5.9 ± 9.6	0.3 ± 0.6	
No. joints with pain ¹	2.4 ± 7.9	5.7 ± 10.9	0.2 ± 0.4	
No. joints with limited range of motion ^{1, 2}	6.8 ± 9.7	10.6 ± 10.3	1.0 ± 1.3	
No. active joints ^{1, 2}	2.2 ± 6.2	7.0 ± 10.9	0.3 ± 0.6	
Female ³	15 (50%)	26 (74%)	10 (50%)	33 (55%)
Persistent systemic features ³	20 (91%)	0	0	
Antinuclear antibody ³	0	2 (6%)	13 (65%)	
Rheumatoid factor ³	1 (4%)	6 (18%)	1 (6%)	
Chronic iritis ³	0	0	6 (30%)	
$\overline{^{1}}$ Mean \pm SD; ² ANOVA p < 0.05; ³ number and per	centage.			

Table II. The 8 CHAQ domains (range 0-3), the disability index (DI) (range 0-3), and the 2 VAS scores (range 0-10 cm) for pain and parent assessment of the child's overall well-being. Lower scores indicate better functional ability. Values are expressed as means \pm SD.

	Systemic onset $n = 30$	Polyarticular onset $n = 35$	Persistent oligoart. n = 20	Healthy controls n =60
Dressing	0.9 ± 1.1	1.2 ± 1.2	0.4 ± 0.6	0.1 ± 0.4
Arising	0.6 ± 0.9	1.0 ± 1.0	0.3 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0
Eating	0.7 ± 1.0	1.0 ± 1.1	0.2 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0
Walking	0.6 ± 0.9	0.8 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0
Hygiene	0.9 ± 1.1	1.2 ± 1.2	0.4 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0
Reach	0.9 ± 0.9	1.0 ± 1.0	0.2 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0
Grip	1.2 ± 1.2	1.4 ± 1.3	0.3 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0
Activities	0.7 ± 1.1	1.1 ± 1.1	0.4 ± 0.8	0.0 ± 0.0
Disability index	0.8 ± 0.9	1.1 ± 0.9	0.3 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0
Parent's evaluation of pain	2.4 ± 3.1	3.1 ± 3.2	1.1 ± 2.3	0.0 ± 0.0
Parent's evaluation of overall well-being	4.0 ± 3.4	4.5 ± 3.1	1.6 ± 1.8	0.0 ± 0.0
ANOVA $p < 0.001$ for all variables				

ANOVA p < 0.001 for all variables.

Table III. The 15 CHQ health concepts (and their abbreviations) and the 2 summary scores. Higher score indicates better physical or psychosocial well being (range 0-100). Values are expressed as means \pm SD.

	Systemic onset $n = 30$	Polyarticular onset $n = 35$	Persistent oligoart. n = 20	Healthy controls n =60
Global health (GGH)	49.3 ± 27.9	43.7 ± 22.8	65.0 ± 14.0	83.0 ± 8.2
Physical functioning (PF)	71.6 ± 32.8	62.9 ± 37.7	94.2 ± 8.0	100.0 ± 0.0
Role/social limitations - Emotional/Behavioural (REB)	85.2 ± 28.2	77.9 ± 35.3	97.8 ± 7.7	100.0 ± 0.0
Role/social limitations - Physical (RP)	70.6 ± 35.7	73.0 ± 33.8	95.8 ± 10.6	100.0 ± 0.0
Bodily pain/discomfort (BP)	68.3 ± 27.0	57.1 ± 32.0	80.5 ± 25.2	100.0 ± 0.0
Behaviour (BE)	75.6 ± 11.2	74.8 ± 15.9	78.4 ± 9.7	89.5 ± 5.7
Global behaviour (GBE)	66.8 ± 25.1	61.0 ± 20.7	65.3 ± 17.7	81.2 ± 9.1
Mental health (MH)	64.6 ± 18.4	60.7 ± 22.3	71.3 ± 15.2	90.6 ± 5.1
Self esteem (SE)	88.5 ± 14.7	80.3 ± 21.3	94.8 ± 14.8	99.9 ± 1.1
General health perceptions (GH)	45.7 ± 19.9	48.1 ± 18.6	66.3 ± 20.5	96.1 ± 8.1
Change in health (CH)	79.2 ± 26.3	68.9 ± 33.1	85.0 ± 23.5	50.4 ± 3.2
Parental impact - Emotional (PE)	79.4 ± 24.9	66.1 ± 30.0	91.3 ± 16.6	99.7 ± 2.2
Parental impact - Time (PT)	75.2 ± 29.6	81.5 ± 27.3	85.0 ± 28.7	99.6 ± 2.9
Family activities (FA)	86.8 ± 20.2	82.3 ± 24.4	95.8 ± 9.3	100.0 ± 0.0
Family cohesion (FC)	70.5 ± 20.0	66.2 ± 16.6	69.3 ± 16.5	82.3 ± 8.4
Physical summary score (PhS)	46.8 ± 9.7	44.4 ± 11.8	53.5 ± 3.3	55.0 ± 0.5
Psychosocial summary score (PsS)	49.9 ± 7.8	47.7 ± 10.1	51.7 ± 6.8	60.6 ± 2.0

ANOVA p < 0.001 for all variables.

The Turkish version of the CHAQ and CHQ / H. Ozdogan et al.

was a concordance with the original American English version of the CHQ in at least 2 out of 3 back translations for 81/99 (82%) lines of the translations.

Probe technique

For the 69 lines of the translated CHAQ, all the lines of translation were understood by more than 80% of the 20 parents tested (median = 100%; range: 80-100%). For the 99 lines of the translated CHQ, all the lines of translation were understood by more than 80% of the parents (median = 100%; range: 80-100%). No change in the text of the Turkish CHAQ-CHQ was necessary after the probe technique. *Psychometric issues*

Descriptive statistics (first Likert assumption). For the CHAQ the total number of missing responses was 6.9% (range 0.7-19.3%) with dressing having more than 10% missing values; the response pattern were skewed towards normal functional ability. All response choices of the CHAQ items have been used except for response choices in dressing. The mean \pm SD of the items within a scale were roughly equivalent except for hygiene, and grip. The total number of missing responses on the CHQ was 1.1% (range: 0.0-4.1%); the response pattern was most often normally distributed except for PF, REB, RP, PE, PT, and FA that were skewed towards normal physical and psychosocial well-being. All response choices of the CHQ items have been used. The means \pm SD of the items within a scale were roughly equivalent except for BE, SE, and FA.

Equal items-scale correlation (second Likert assumption). Pearson items-scale correlations corrected for overlap were roughly equivalent for items within a scale for all of the CHAQ domains except for hygiene, and reach, and for all CHQ health concepts except for BE. Items internal consistency (third Likert as sumption). Pearson items scale correlations

were 0.4 for 100% of the CHAQ items and for 96% of the CHQ items (except BE). *Items discriminant validity.* For the CHAQ,

Pearson items correlations with its scale corrected for overlap were greater than at least 1 standard error (SE) of the correlation with other scales for 71% of the items (14% by 2 SE); scaling failure was observed for arising, and eating, where the items were better correlated with other domains. For the CHQ, Pearson items correlations with its scale were greater by at least 1 SE for 92% of the items (62% by 2 SE); scaling failure was observed only for BE.

Floor and ceiling effect. The CHAQ floor effect had a median of 84% (range 82-88%) while for the CHQ the median was 1.3% (range 0-3.4%). The CHAQ ceiling effect had median of 0.0% (range 0.0-2.0) while the

CHQ had a median of 65% (range 0-88%). *Cronbach's alpha internal consistency*. Cronbach's alpha was 0.7 for 6/8 (75%) domains of the CHAQ (overall 0.98; range 0.66-0.96) with the exception being arising (0.67), and eating (0.66). Cronbach's alpha was 0.7 for 10/11 (91%) measurable health concepts (*i.e.* health concepts with more than 1 item) of the CHQ (overall 0.97; range 0.66-0.96) with the exception being BE (0.66).

Inter scale correlation. The Pearson correlation of each domain with all other domains of the CHAQ-CHQ was higher than their Cronbach's alpha for most of the CHAQ domains except for hygiene, grip,and activities. For the CHQ most of the 11 measurable health concepts have correlation lower than their Cronbach's alpha except for BE.

Test-retest reliability. After a median of 24.5 days (range 7-65 days; number of JIApatients re-tested = 10) the intra-class correlation coefficients for the 8 CHAQ domains showed a poor reproducibility with a median of 0.04 (range -0.5 to 0.4). Also the 15 CHQ health concepts showed a poor reproducibility with a median of 0.1 (range -0.4 to 0.6).

External validity. The Spearman correlation of the CHAQ with the JIA core set variables (8) showed a median of 0.5 (range 0.5 to 0.8), with the highest correlation being with the parent's evaluation of overall well being (r = 0.8). For the CHQ the median correlation was for the PhS -0.4 (range -0.6 to -0.2) and for the PsS was -0.4 (range -0.7 to -0.2). The best correlation was with the DI of the CHAQ for both the PhS (-0.6) and the PsS (-0.7).

Discussion

The results of the present study show that the Turkish versions of the CHAQ-CHQ have excellent psychometric properties. In this study the Turkish CHAQ was fully cross-culturally adapted from the original American English version with 3 forward and 3 backward translations. This diseasespecific questionnaire proved its ability to clinically discriminate between the JIA subtypes and healthy controls, with the systemic, and polyarticular subtypes having a higher degree of disability, pain, and a lower overall well-being when compared to their healthy peers. Minor statistical problems were found for arising, and eating, which showed different means ± SD, an unequal item scale correlation, and problems for discriminant validity, and Cronbach s alpha.

In this study the Turkish CHQ was fully cross-culturally adapted from the original American English version with 3 forward and 3 backward translations. The generic CHQ questionnaire proved less able to clinically discriminate between the different JIA types than the CHAQ with the JIA patients with systemic, and polyarticular onset having a lower physical and psychosocial well-being when compared to their healthy peers. Some minor statistical problems were found for the equal item scale correlation, the item internal consistency, and the Cronbach's alpha for BE.

In conclusion, the Turkish version of the CHAQ-CHQ is a reliable and valid tool for the functional, physical and psychosocial assessment of children with JIA.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Dr. J. Landgraf *et al.*, developers of the CHQ, to Dr. Luciana Gado-West reviewer of the CHAQ, to Dr. Anna Tortorelli for data entry, and to A. and A. McCain, B Shepherd, B. Yazici, N. Efe who prepared and reviewed the forward and backward translations.

References

- SINGH G, ATHREYA B, FRIES JF, GOLD-SMITH DP: Measurement of health status in children with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994; 37: 1761-9.
- 2. LANDGRAF JM, ABETZ L, WARE JE: *The CHQ User's Manual.* 1st ed., The Boston, Health Institute, New England Medical Center, 1996.
- 3. RUPERTO N, MARTINI A, for PRINTO: A European network for randomised actively controlled clinical trials in paediatric rheumatic diseases: parenteral methotrexate in medium versus higher doses in juvenile chronic arthritis. "XIV EULAR and VI European Paediatric Rheumatology Congress". Ann Rheum Dis 1999; Conference Proceedings, Abstr. 105, pg 25.
- RUPERTO N, MARTINI A, for PRINTO: Use of unlabelled and off licence drugs in children. A European paediatric rule is needed to protect children. *BMJ* 2000; 320: 1210-1.
- BRUNNER HI, GIANNINI EH: Evidence-based medicine in pediatric rheumatology. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2000; 18: 407-14.
- 6. RUPERTO N, RAVELLI A, PISTORIO A et al.: Cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric evaluation of the Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ) and the Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ) in 32 countries. Review of the general methodology. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2001; 19 (Suppl. 23): S1-S9.
- PETTY RE, SOUTHWOOD TR, BAUM J et al.: Revision of the proposed classification criteria for juvenile idiopathic arthritides:Durban, 1997. J Rheumatol 1998; 25: 1991-4.
- GIANNINI EH, RUPERTO N, RAVELLI A, LOVELL DJ, FELSON DT, MARTINI A: Preliminary definition of improvement in juvenile arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997; 40: 1202-9.